

Ref. Number \_\_\_\_\_

**Evaluation form for the proposed project**

<b>ORGANISATION NAME:</b>	
<b>TITLE OF THE PROJECT:</b>	

Please score each question on the scale from 0 to 5, according to the following table:  
(should there be some questions that have no grounds for evaluation, indicate 0)

Maximum score: 115

A threshold of 60%: 69 points

NOTE:

Please evaluate if the proposal is in accordance with the rules set in the Guidelines for applicants!

1.	RELEVANCE AND FEASIBILITY OF THE PROJECT	Related to Application Form	Score
1.1.	Is the strategic plan/vision of the organisation well reflected in its sustainability model suggested in the project?	II.4., II.9.	
1.2.	Does the model of sustainability proposed in the project proposal include all 4 components and elaborates them in detail?*	II.4., II.9.	
1.3.	Are the planned activities and expected results in the accordance with the expected results of this Call?	II.9., II.10., Guidelines II.2.	
1.4.	Are the project objectives clearly and realistically defined?	II.10.	
1.5.	How realistic is the project implementation plan?	II.9.	
1.6.	Are the planned activities appropriate, feasible and related with the objectives and expected results of the project?	II.4., II.9., II.10.	
1.7.	Are the cross cutting issues reflected in the general approach and everyday enforcement of the activities as well as in the planned activities in the appropriate way?*	II.16.	
1.8.	Does the proposed project tackle the horizontal concerns in the appropriate way (directly or indirectly)?*	II.17., II.18.	
1.9.	Will the public be properly informed about the project?	II.15.	
<b>Total:</b>			

2.	METHODOLOGY	Related to Application Form	Score
2.1.	Does the Outcome/s and Outcome Indicator/s of the project correspond to Programme outputs specified in section II.1. of the Guidelines and are additional outcomes/indicators added?	II.10., Guidelines II.2.	
2.2.	Does the proposal contain objectively verifiable indicators for the outcome of the project?	II.10.	
2.3.	Are the means of verification for measuring and determining the target indicator values clearly explained?	II.11.	
2.4.	Are the measures of control intended to verify the performance of internal/external staff appropriate?	II.7.	
2.5.	Is the methodology/approach suggested applicable for the sector as a model of sustainability?	II.4., II.9.	
<b>Total:</b>			

3.	OPERATIONAL CAPACITY	Related to Application Form	Score
3.1.	Does the organization(s) have sufficient experience in project management?	<i>II.6.</i>	
3.2.	Does the organization(s) have or is proposing sufficient management capacity (including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?	<i>II.5., CV</i>	
3.3.	Does the organization(s) have sufficient experience in financial management in general?	<i>I.a.25., I.b.23., II.6.</i>	
3.4.	If it is a consortia application, does it include foreign partner from Donor countries (Iceland, Lichtenstein, Norway) in addition to the 3 members of the consortium from Croatia? **	<i>I.b.3.</i>	
<b>Total:</b>			

4.	COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS	Related to Application Form	Score
4.1.	Are the costs in line with the activities proposed?	<i>II.3., II.9. and Budget Form</i>	
4.2.	Is the ratio between costs and expected results realistic?	<i>II.3., II.9. and Budget Form</i>	
4.3.	Does the voluntary work increase the value of the project?	<i>II.13. and Budget Form</i>	
<b>Total:</b>			

5.	SUSTAINABILITY AND IMPACT CHANGES	Related to Application Form	Score
5.1.	Does the project have an indication of long term effects and sustainability?	<i>II.14.</i>	
5.2.	Does the proposed project have a multiplier effect, i.e. the proposed model of sustainable development can be implemented by other similar organisations	<i>II.4., II.9.</i>	
<b>Total:</b>			

<b>IN TOTAL:</b>	
------------------	--

\* Should there be no basis in the application to evaluate the question and a score 0 is given, this instantly excludes the whole application from further processing.

\*\* A score of 5 will be given if it is a consortia application that includes foreign partner from Donor countries (Iceland, Lichtenstein, Norway). If not the case, the applicant will be scored 0, according to the Guidelines for Applicants, section 4.1.1.

<b>Comment:</b>
-----------------

--

Date:

Signature of the evaluator

---



---